School: The Cove School

Year: 2019-20

School Local Control & Accountability Plan

School Profile

In 2011, The Larkspur-Corte Madera School District Board of Trustees unanimously approved the creation of a new public elementary school to open in the Fall of 2014. The Board and visionary superintendent provided support for the new principal and core leadership team to research academic best practices, study the California Common Core State Standards (CA CCSS), participate in a course with colleagues from around the world on "Learning Environments for Tomorrow" at Harvard University, visit exemplar collaborative elementary schools, learn how Google and other highly successful technology companies utilize space creatively to facilitate positive outcomes, and finally, to collaborate with staff and community members to develop the mission, vision and culture of the new school.

Established in 2014, The Cove School (http://www.lcmschools.org/thecoveschool) is an innovative public elementary school of approximately 425 students in the Larkspur-Corte Madera School District. Cove is built around forward-thinking educational practices and flexible learning spaces. Cove is supported by our highly engaged community filled with active learners - parents, staff and students alike - who inquire, explore, collaborate and create. While our model practice of collaborative teaching is essential to our success, there are many other components that contribute to our success as well. At the center of The Cove School are four Guiding Principles: Experiential Learning, Social and Emotional Growth, Creativity and Community. These principles are brought to life through The Cove School's Signature Practices.

Cove teachers employ a variety of strategies to promote experiential learning. Project-based learning strengthens student understanding, reinforcing academic concepts with hands-on experiences. Teachers integrate a variety of curriculum areas into units based on the CA CCSS, using technology as a tool to deepen understanding. Through differentiated, small-group instruction and proven strategies for language development, Cove teachers keep students actively engaged in learning.

Social and emotional growth is a key part of the Cove learning experience. Our Responsive Classroom (https://www.responsiveclassroom.org/) approach promotes respectful, healthy relationships, and builds mindfulness practices into the daily routine of students, fostering self-discipline. Together, these techniques help children become responsible, focused and reflective learners. The Cove School embraces an inclusive philosophy supporting all students for success in our integrated learning environments.

The Cove Signature Practices encourage students to explore challenging questions and devise creative solutions. Our students' creativity and natural curiosity are nurtured through the process of tinkering, making and innovating.

Community engagement and collaborative teaching help The Cove School thrive. Everyone contributes in unique ways and has collective ownership of Cove's success. Our community is built on shared values, trust, transparency, and a willingness to try new things. For example, the entire Cove community starts each day with "Cove-in-Motion" (http://www.myschoolinmotion.org/), comprised of fifteen minutes of choreographed movement and physical education based on the latest brain research about learning. All students, teachers (and parents who choose to stay) participate! We also collaborate with local organizations to exchange ideas and expand our thinking.

The architectural design of the school is based on learning research that highlights the benefits of natural day lighting, visibility, airflow, and non-standard spaces with a variety of nooks, floor textures and ceiling heights. Learning spaces function as collaborative suites with flexible, glass walls, a small group breakout room, and attached outdoor learning spaces. The unique furniture supports flexibility, collaboration and creativity.

Through strong commitment to our mission, ongoing reflection and continuous improvement of our practices, the entire Cove community is dedicated to delivering inspirational learning experiences for all of our students.

CAASPP Results (All Students)

English Language Arts/Literacy

					Overall Partici	pation for All S	Students					
	# of	Students Enro	lled	# o	f Students Tes	ted	# of S	tudents with S	cores	% of En	rolled Students	s Tested
Grade Level	ade Level 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17						16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	64	72	69	61	69	65	61	69	65	95.3	95.8	94.2
Grade 4	73	72	69	68	68	66	68	68	66	93.2	94.4	95.7
Grade 5	76	77	76	74	76	75	74	76	75	97.4	98.7	98.7
All Grades	213	221	214	203	213	206	203	213	206	95.3	96.4	96.3

* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

						Overall Ach	ievement fo	or All Studer	nts						
Mean Scale Score % Standard Exceeded % Standard Met % Standard Nearly Met % Standard Not Met											Met				
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	2477.3	2485.2	2477.7	44.26	53.62	52.31	34.43	18.84	20.00	14.75	20.29	18.46	6.56	7.25	9.23
Grade 4	2565.1	2563.1	2546.4	67.65	67.65	54.55	23.53	17.65	31.82	8.82	7.35	9.09	0.00	7.35	4.55
Grade 5	2569.7	2577.3	2575.2	51.35	52.63	56.00	29.73	31.58	25.33	6.76	14.47	13.33	12.16	1.32	5.33
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	54.68	57.75	54.37	29.06	23.00	25.73	9.85	14.08	13.59	6.40	5.16	6.31

	Demo	nstrating under	Reading rstanding of lite	rary and non-fi	ctional texts								
Crade Level % Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard													
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19				
Grade 3	40.98	46.38	47.69	47.54	42.03	40.00	11.48	11.59	12.31				
Grade 4	55.88	58.82	48.48	36.76	38.24	45.45	7.35	2.94	6.06				
Grade 5 55.41 51.32 42.67 32.43 46.05 49.33 12.16 2.63 8.00													
All Grades 51.23 52.11 46.12 38.42 42.25 45.15 10.34 5.63 8.74													

		Producir	Writing ng clear and pur										
Grade Level % Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard													
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19				
Grade 3	42.62	49.28	38.46	52.46	42.03	53.85	4.92	8.70	7.69				
Grade 4	55.88	58.82	46.97	42.65	36.76	50.00	1.47	4.41	3.03				
Grade 5 52.70 64.47 56.00 37.84 30.26 38.67 9.46 5.26 5.33													
All Grades 50.74 57.75 47.57 43.84 36.15 47.09 5.42 6.10 5.34													

		Demonstrat	Listening ing effective co	mmunication sk	kills							
Crade Level % Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard												
Grade Level	Grade Level 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19											
Grade 3	31.15	42.03	32.31	59.02	53.62	58.46	9.84	4.35	9.23			
Grade 4	52.94	48.53	53.03	47.06	47.06	40.91	0.00	4.41	6.06			
Grade 5 37.84 31.58 37.33 54.05 67.11 57.33 8.11 1.32 5.33												
All Grades 40.89 40.38 40.78 53.20 56.34 52.43 5.91 3.29 6.80												

	-	Investigating, a	Research/Inq nalyzing, and p	uiry resenting inform	nation							
Crade Level % Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard												
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19			
Grade 3	57.38	46.38	38.46	32.79	44.93	50.77	9.84	8.70	10.77			
Grade 4	66.18	69.12	45.45	32.35	26.47	45.45	1.47	4.41	9.09			
Grade 5 50.00 52.63 60.00 41.89 44.74 30.67 8.11 2.63 9.33												
All Grades 57.64 55.87 48.54 35.96 38.97 41.75 6.40 5.16 9.71												

CAASPP Results (All Students)

Mathematics

					Overall Partici	pation for All S	itudents						
	Grade Level # of Students Enrolled # of Students Tested # of Students with Scores % of Enrolled Students Tested												
Grade Level									18-19				
Grade 3	64	72	69	62	69	66	62	69	66	96.9	95.8	95.7	
Grade 4	73	72	69	69	69	67	69	69	67	94.5	95.8	97.1	
Grade 5	76	77	76	75	76	75	75	76	75	98.7	98.7	98.7	
All Grades	213	221	214	206	214	208	206	214	208	96.7	96.8	97.2	

* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

						Overall Ach	ievement fo	or All Stude	nts						
Mean Scale Score % Standard Exceeded % Standard Met % Standard Nearly Met % Standard Not Met												Met			
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	2486.4	2504.3	2504.6	41.94	56.52	60.61	33.87	23.19	24.24	16.13	13.04	10.61	8.06	7.25	4.55
Grade 4	2558.0	2547.4	2545.4	59.42	55.07	46.27	27.54	24.64	31.34	11.59	15.94	19.40	1.45	4.35	2.99
Grade 5	2547.0	2572.1	2560.7	34.67	51.32	40.00	26.67	26.32	26.67	28.00	15.79	20.00	10.67	6.58	13.33
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	45.15	54.21	48.56	29.13	24.77	27.40	18.93	14.95	16.83	6.80	6.07	7.21

			Concepts & Proc hematical conce	edures epts and proced	lures							
Crede Level % Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard												
Grade Level	Grade Level 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19											
Grade 3	61.29	73.91	66.67	22.58	14.49	24.24	16.13	11.59	9.09			
Grade 4	65.22	60.87	58.21	27.54	26.09	35.82	7.25	13.04	5.97			
Grade 5 38.67 56.58 45.33 33.33 30.26 36.00 28.00 13.16 18.67												
All Grades 54.37 63.55 56.25 28.16 23.83 32.21 17.48 12.62 11.54												

U	sing appropriate		olving & Modeli tegies to solve I	•	is nathematical p	roblems						
% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard												
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19			
Grade 3	51.61	59.42	65.15	43.55	33.33	31.82	4.84	7.25	3.03			
Grade 4	65.22	60.87	56.72	28.99	33.33	38.81	5.80	5.80	4.48			
Grade 5	41.33	59.21	49.33	42.67	31.58	37.33	16.00	9.21	13.33			
All Grades	52.43	59.81	56.73	38.35	32.71	36.06	9.22	7.48	7.21			

	Den		mmunicating R lity to support r	easoning nathematical co	onclusions							
% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard												
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19			
Grade 3	58.06	65.22	57.58	30.65	28.99	40.91	11.29	5.80	1.52			
Grade 4	65.22	63.77	53.73	30.43	27.54	40.30	4.35	8.70	5.97			
Grade 5 41.33 52.63 34.67 48.00 39.47 50.67 10.67 7.89 14.67												
All Grades	54.37	60.28	48.08	36.89	32.24	44.23	8.74	7.48	7.69			

			Applicable	What will be different / im	proved for students?	Annual
Related State Priorities	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
Performance Goal #1						
Improve student eng	agement, health, well-bein	g and connectedness to school.	1	T		
Pupil Engagement	2018 California Healthy	Integrate social and emotional	All	Document teachers' evaluation	Title II	
	Kids Survey	development throughout the		of students' growth mindset as	SPARK Foundation	
School Climate	85% of students report	Cove experience to foster		recorded in progress reports	General Fund	
	feeling safe at school	student empathy, problem-		each semester.		
	most or all of the time.	solving skills and overall well-				
	70% of students report a	being.		Continue to monitor recess		
	high level of school			systems, activities, options and		
	connectedness.	Maximize percentage of students		supervision in order to facilitate		
		feeling safe and connected to		positive student experiences.		
	2019 LCAP Survey	school.				
	91% of parents report			Counselor and principal		
	their child feels			interview subset of 2nd-5th		
	connected to an adult in			grade students regarding their		
	their classroom or other			recess and safety and		
	staff members at Cove.			connectedness experience to		
	(This is up from 88% in			look for trends to improve.		
	2018.)					
	81% of 3rd-5th grade			Continue mindfulness training		
	students reported			and coaching for all teachers.		
	feeling safe on					
	playground. (This is the			Continue SEL Programming		
	same as 2018.)			including classroom guidance		
	84% of students			lessons on conflict resolution		
	reported positive			and prosocial relationships.		
	relationships with peers					
	at school.			Use of mindfulness techniques		
	88% of students			implemented in classrooms to		
	reported feeling			support transitions.		
	included while eating at					
	lunch.			Use of brain breaks throughout		
	2019 Eth grada Dhusical			the day to maintain student		
	2018 5th grade Physical			engagement.		
	Fitness Data			Counselor check in's with at-risk		
	Average percent of students who score in					
	students who score in			students	1	

Related State Priorities	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	What will be different / improved for students?		Annual
				Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
	the Healthy Fitness Zone across the areas of Aerobic Capacity, Body Composition, Abdominal Strength, Trunk Extension Strength, Upper Body Strength, and Flexibility is 91%			Recess conflict management support among teachers, counselor, and administrators as well as student Conflict Managers program led by counselor. Counselor collaboration with teachers, parents and stakeholders to develop plans to support prosocial behaviors in specific students.		

Related State Priorities	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	What will be different / improved for students?		Annual
				Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
World Languages, Phys		and equitable and inclusive access I Literacy for all students. Increase gap within subgroups.				-
Pupil Achievement	3rd grade	State Testing: 90% of students in grades 3-5 will meet or exceed	All	Provide differentiated professional learning	General Fund Title II	
Other Pupil Outcomes	ELA Achievement - 74% Math Achievement -	ELA standards on State assessments; 85% of students		opportunities that focus on curriculum and pedagogy that is	SPARK Foundation MTSS Grant	
Implementation of State Standards 4 E N 8 5 E N 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	80% 4th grade ELA Achievement - 85% Math Achievement - 80% 5th grade ELA Achievement - 84% Math Achievement - 78% Subgroup data (LCMSD) Low Socioeconomic Status ELA Achievement - 42%	grades 3-5 meet or exceed Math standards on State assessments; 75% of students in target groups (including ELs) will meet or exceed standards Local Benchmarks: 90% of students in grades 3-5 will meet or exceed standards on local reading and writing benchmark assessments; 85% of students in grades 3-8 will meet or exceed standards on local math benchmark assessments		firmly rooted in research, practice, and informed by collaboration among higher education faculty (UCLA Center X), national experts (Momentum in Teaching - Reading and Writing Workshop), and practitioners from local districts. Provide Reading and Math Intervention Develop MTSS Tiered System of Supports with an emphasis on Tier 1 Instruction.		
	Math Achievement - 31% English Language Learner ELA Achievement - 18% Math Achievement - 15%			Provide Peer Coaching around research based strategies for subgroup success Implement a data and assessment warehouse to closely monitor student progress throughout the year.		
	Special Education ELA Achievement - 43% Math Achievement - 33%					

			Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	What will be different / improved for students?		Annual
Related State Priorities	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal		Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress

Related State Priorities	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal	Annelisse	What will be different / imp	proved for students?	Annual update: Analysis of Progress
			Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	
	-	onal standards and participate in e aders to fill vacancies.	ffective profess	sional development (PD) and learn	ing opportunities. The Dist	trict will
Implementation of State Standards Pupil Achievement School Climate	LCMSD Teacher Evaluation processTeacher goal setting and conferencing with administratorsTeacher observationCollaborative Inquiry Visits with district administrative team to collect data and observe instructional practices as modeled and implemented with Reader's and Writer's workshop and Cognitively Guided Instruction in math.Rigorous hiring process to include demonstration lessons	100% of classroom teachers will embed professional learning (in the specific district focus areas of reading or math) in their annual professional goals. Embody a culture of innovation to drive continuous improvement through the use of data from a variety of sources.	All	Staff meeting focused on writing professional goals with data- based outcomes in target areas. Administrators to provide targeted and effective teacher feedback aligned to district goals. Admin team to continue practice of Collaborative Inquiry Visits to determine trends and needs for professional development. Support educators in making practice more transparent through calibrated peer observation, lab cycles, and common planning. Principal to support teachers in taking risks and trying new research-based practices. Principal to communicate this	Title II General Fund SPARK Foundation	
	and applicant interview process			value to parents through Cove Connection, Back to School Night and other communications.		

Related State Priorities	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	What will be different / imp	Annual	
				Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
Performance Goal #4: Leverage and align dist facilities for innovative		nt improvement and LCAP goals. M	laintain equitab	le class size distribution. Maintain	outstanding facilities, leve	eraging new
Parental Involvement	District, PTO and SPARK budgets align with LCAP goals.	Work collaboratively with PTO and SPARK to leverage community funding to maximize progress toward LCAP goals.		Principal and staff to work collaboratively with SPARK and PTO to educate them about LCAP priorities when creating their budgets. Principal and staff to work collaboratively with SPARK and PTO to support their fundraising efforts.	N/A	

Related State Priorities	Identified Need and Metric	d Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	What will be different / improved for students?		Annual
				Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
Performance Goal #5:						
Develop and improve	communication, participat	tion, and articulation among schoo	ls, district, pare	nts, community.	1	
Parental Involvement	Weekly school	Advancing The Cove's Guiding		Parent group membership	General Fund	
	newsletters (Cove	Principles: Further expand our		(LCAP, PTO and Site Council,	SPARK	
School Climate	Connection) and	commitment to and		SPARK) will include parents of		
	classroom	understanding of The Cove's		students in subgroups.		
	communication emailed	Guiding Principles				
	to parents.			Continue Cove Connection		
		Community Involvement:				
	BluPods notifications to	Strengthen Cove Community by		Continue/define agreements as		
	parents	providing opportunities for the		a staff around teacher		
		school community (students,		communication to parents		
	2019 LCAP Survey Data:	teachers, parents) to participate				
	87% of families feel	and learn together through		Continue multi-year Cove Alive		
	school communication is	0,		committee with focus on making		
	effective (2018: 91%) 75% of families feel	events		Guiding Principles visible		
	teacher communication			Focus Open House around		
	is effective (2018: 77%)			showing the Guiding Principles in		
	13 effective (2010. 7770)			action		
				Implement personal phone calls		
				to random people in each		
				classroom (through PTO		
				classroom coordinators) to get		
				specific data/feedback to		
				improve communication.		